

Agenda Date: 07/11/07 Agenda Item: IVA-2

# STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102 www.bpu.state.nj.us

#### **TELECOMMUNICATIONS**

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF	) ORDER APPROVING
UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY	) INTERCONNECTION
INC. D/B/A EMBARQ AND U.S. LEC OF PENNSLYVANIA	
INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A MASTER	)
INTERCONNECTION, COLLOCATION & RESALE	)
AGREEMENT UNDER SECTION 252 OF THE	)
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996	) DOCKET NO. TO06040270

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)

#### BY THE BOARD:

By letter dated April 5, 2006, United Telephone Company of New Jersey, Inc. d/b/a Embarq ("Embarq"), a New Jersey corporation, and US LEC of Pennsylvania Inc., ("US LEC") (jointly, "the Parties"), pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, P.L. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (codified in scattered sections of 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §151 <u>et seq.</u>) ("the Act"), submitted to the Board of Public Utilities ("Board") a joint application ("Application") for approval of a negotiated resale agreement, titled "Master Interconnection Collocation and Resale Agreement for the State of New Jersey" and dated November 15, 2007 ("the Agreement"). Embarq is an incumbent local exchange carrier as defined by the Act with the duty to negotiate interconnection agreements pursuant to Section 252 of the Act. <u>See</u> 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §251(c) and §251(h)(1). The Agreement sets forth the rates, terms and conditions under which Embarq will offer to US LEC telecommunications services for the purpose of resale.

Embarq and US LEC assert that the Agreement satisfies the requirements for Board approval because it does not discriminate against any other telecommunications carrier, as required by Section 252(e)(2)(A)(i). The Parties aver that the terms contained in the Agreement are available to any other telecommunications carrier operating in New Jersey, and that other carriers are not bound by the Agreement, remaining free to negotiate independently with Embarq pursuant to Section 252 of the Act. Application at 3.

The Agreement is in effect until November 14, 2007 and thereafter, as noted in the Agreement, continues in full force and effect unless terminated as provided in the Agreement. The Agreement provides for post-termination interim services arrangements.

The Parties also assert that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, as required by Section 252(e)(2)(A)(ii) because it will permit US LEC to compete with Embarq as a local telephone service reseller for both residential and business customers, and it will promote local competition in Embarq's service territory, thereby fostering the goals of the Act.

By letter dated August 17, 2006, the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel filed comments on the Agreement. In Summary, the Division of Rate Counsel stated that it is satisfied that the Agreement does not discriminate against other carriers and is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, and therefore recommended that the Board approve the Agreement.

## **DISCUSSION**

Pursuant to 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §252(a)(1), an incumbent LEC may negotiate and enter into a binding interconnection agreement with a carrier requesting interconnection, service, or network elements without regard to the standards set forth in 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §251(b) and (c). In addition, 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §252(e)(1) requires approval by the Board of any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration, and further requires the Board to approve or reject the Agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies. The Act provides that the Board may reject a negotiated agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

[47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §252(e)(2)(A)].

The Board's review of the Agreement and the record in this matter indicate that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity and does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not parties to the Agreement. Therefore, the Board <u>FINDS</u> that the Agreement meets the standards set forth in the Act, and <u>HEREBY APPROVES</u> the Agreement as presented by the Parties. This approval should not be construed as preapproval of any future petitions for rate recovery of costs incurred pursuant to the Agreement. In addition, approval does not constitute a determination concerning, nor shall the Board be bound by, any provisions within the Agreement regarding the confidentiality of information.

Pursuant to 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §252(h), a copy of the Agreement will be made available for public inspection and copying within ten days of the issuance of this Order.

DATED: 7/12/07

**BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES** 

BY:

RESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

OMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

**CARMEN DIAZ ACTING SECRETARY** 

HEREBY CERTIFY that the within document is a true copy of the original in the files of the Board of Public

Utilities

### Service List

Docket No. TO06040270

Zsuzsanna E. Benedek United Telephone Company d/b/a Embarq 240 North Third Street, Suite 201 Harrisburg, PA 17101

Jimarli Figueiredo
Board of Public Utilities
Division of Telecommunications
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102

Ronald K. Chen, Ratepayer Advocate Christopher White, Esq. Maria Novas-Ruiz, Esq. Division of Ratepayer Advocate 31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 46005 Newark, NJ 07101

Elise Goldblat, D.A.G. Arlene Pasko, D.A.G. Department of Law & Public Safety 124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor Newark, NJ 07102

Frank Hoffman
US LEC Corp.
Momocroft III
6801 Morrison Blvd.
Charlotte, North Caroline 28211